

9/04/08 2009 SUPPORT BILL SUBCOMMITTEE TELEPHONE MEETING

Attendance:

Barbara Beilly  
Carin Porras  
Tom Sasser  
Angel Bello-Bellini  
Robin Scher  
Scott Rubin  
Eric Bruce  
Tenesia Hall  
Heather Apicella  
Rob Jones

1. Approved language changes to 61.30(1)(a) which removes our previously approved language at the end of the paragraph “at least 40% of the overnight time-sharing in a year with each parent” and reinstates the existing language and adding to it “as defined by 61.30(11)(b)8.” Tom moved, Carin 2<sup>nd</sup> and Motion carried.
2. Approved changes to 61.30(11)(b)8. to substitute the word “time-sharing” for the word “visitation”. Scott moved and Carin 2<sup>nd</sup> and the motion carried.
3. Approved changes to 61.30(11)(c) to delete the words “or agreed” before the words “time-sharing” in both places that it appears and add the word “the” before the words “court-ordered”. Scott moved and Tom 2<sup>nd</sup> and the motion carried.
4. The Subcommittee did not approve the Split Parent language of 61.30(11)(d) for inclusion in the 2009 Support Bill. It was suggested that further review and analysis take place. A motion was made that the Subcommittee recommend that 61.30(11)(d) language not be included in the 2009 Support bill as further analysis is required and the language appears to be contrary to standing position 30. The motion was made by Scott and seconded by Tom and carried. The Subcommittee suggests that the statute be returned to the Support Issues Committee for further review and consideration.
5. Carin reported that both forensic accountants that she consulted in connection with the 61.30 tax language had no issues with the language.
6. A discussion was had that our new 61.30(1)(a) language will conflict with the parenting bill Subcommittee’s language and that the Legislative Committee will have to address the issue. The language in our bill makes it clear that there is no change of the obligation for the formerly known as non-custodial parent to pay child support to the formerly known as custodial parent whereas the parenting bill Subcommittee’s language may allow for a change. It was discussed that our Subcommittee’s language follows our charge as to not change the prior law of the less than 40% time share parent paying the greater than 60% time share parent.